UVA Darden **Global Corporate Patent Dataset:** Construction and Features

May 2019

This document discusses the construction of a new patent-firm linked database: *Global Corporate Patent Dataset*. The dataset covers patents awarded by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) to publicly listed firms internationally—those that are covered by the <u>S&P Compustat Global</u> database—between 1980 and 2017.

Mapping of patent assignees to companies covered by external databases is a complex task for two main reasons. First, companies' name strings that can be extracted from patent applications and grant documents are not the companies' exact legal names; and, the names are not standardized. This means that there is no unique identifier for patent assignees in the available patent databases. In fact, for companies that have been active in innovation over a long period of time, there is typically a large number of different patent assignee strings that represent variants of the same company's name. Second, companies often file for patents through subsidiaries, where the subsidiaries' names typically do not correspond to their parent companies' names, and quite often the subsidiary and parent company names have little or no common component.

In prior work, researchers typically used fuzzy-string matching techniques to create links between patent assignee strings extracted from patent documents and companies' name strings extracted from external databases. Most work focused on matching USPTO granted patents to U.S. listed firms (covered by <u>S&P</u> <u>Compustat North America</u> or <u>CRSP</u>), for example, <u>NBER Patent Data Project</u> or <u>Kogan</u>, <u>Papanikolaou</u>, <u>Seru</u>, and Stoffman (2017). <u>Graham</u>, <u>Grim</u>, <u>Islam</u>, <u>Marco</u>, and <u>Miranda (2018)</u> match USPTO granted patents to administrative databases of firms and workers housed at the U.S. Census Bureau. They use inventor information in addition to the patent assignee firm name to improve on previous efforts linking patents to firms. <u>Bena</u>, <u>Ferreira</u>, <u>Matos</u>, and <u>Pires (2017)</u> use fuzzy-string matching techniques to match USPTO patents to firms internationally. <u>Bena</u>, <u>Ferreira</u>, <u>Matos</u>, and <u>Pires (2017)</u> highlight the importance of non-U.S. based companies for patenting and innovation activities more broadly: (i) Combined R&D spending of non-U.S. firms exceeded that of U.S. firms over 2000s. (ii) In recent years, USPTO granted more patents to non-U.S. firms than U.S. firms.

The truly global nature of innovation calls for a comprehensive data on patenting by international firms. To this end, the <u>University of Virginia Darden School of Business Batten Institute</u> funded a project to create the *Global Corporate Patent Dataset*. The mapping of patent assignees to companies internationally is especially challenging because companies' names are in many languages and name strings contain suffixes denoting different legal forms of incorporation according to local corporate laws. In addition, large non-U.S. companies are typically organized as conglomerates or pyramids with numerous member firms, subsidiaries, and affiliates. To overcome this challenge, when creating the *Global Corporate Patent Dataset* we complement the fuzzy-string matching techniques used by <u>Bena, Ferreira, Matos, and Pires (2017)</u> (see the <u>Internet Appendix</u> for the detailed description of the fuzzy-string matching methodology in the international setting) with disambiguation of companies' names

utilizing the capability of the internet search engines. Specifically, we use the internet search engines to search for the patent assignee strings to obtain the domain name associated with each string. For example, <u>google.com</u> correctly returns <u>www.ibm.com</u> for patent assignee strings such as: "International Business Machines Corp", "I.B.M. Corporation" or "Comp.; Ibm". We then correctly match patent assignee strings to companies in external databases directly using the companies' domain names. This method is effective as it reliably works in any language and in most countries around the world.

Fuzzy string matching: Firm name string in Compustat: conml = "International Business Machines Corp"		Novel approach:	
			1.0.1.01
assg_name International Business Machines Corp International Business Machines Corporation International Business Machines Corporation Corporation International Business Machines Corporation, A Corporation International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) Ibm Corporation (International Business Machines) International Business Machines Corporation Armonk Ny International Business Machines Corporation New Orchard Road International Business Machines Corporation, Armonk, New York 10504 I.B.M. Corporation Ibm Corp. Comp.; Ibm Ibm	dist 0.0000 0.0625 0.0909 0.1250 0.1333 0.1667 0.2105 0.2308 0.2683 0.3750 0.4340 0.7895 0.8824 0.9737 1.0000	About 23.500,000 results (0.89 seconds) IBM - United States https://www.ibm.com/ * For more than a central MB has been dedicated to every client's success that matter for the word. About 10.100,000 results (0.34 seconds) IBM Official Let's PU Smart To Work IBM.com About 101.000,000 results (0.34 seconds) IBM Official Let's PU Smart To Work IBM.com About 101.000,000 results (0.34 seconds) Comp; Ibm All News Images Shopping Videos More About 13.400,000 results (0.39 seconds) RPG/400 Help - COMP (Compare) - IBM	Settings Tools

Most importantly, in many cases this method correctly identifies member firms of conglomerates and corporate pyramids, which is crucial since most innovations are created by multinational companies often with operations in many countries and multiple R&D centres. In fact, business groups—multiple tiers of partially-owned listed affiliates and fully-owned private affiliates—are dominant as an organizational form around the world outside of the U.S. (Kandel, Kosenko, Morck, and Yafeh (2018)). Thoma, Torrisi, Gambardella, Guellec, Hall, and Harhoff (2010) describe patenting by subsidiaries as one of the key challenges in linking patents to companies internationally. When applying this method, for example, google.com returns domain name abbott.com for patent assignee strings such as: "ABBOTT LABORATORIES", "Abbott Gmbh & Co." or "Abbott Healthcare Products, B.V.", correctly identifying that these entities are subsidiaries of the same parent company. In most cases, this method therefore matches different patent assignee strings that belong to firms/affiliates/subsidiaries from the same corporate business structure to the parent company of this structure.

Compared to the fuzzy-string matching techniques, another advantage of this method is the ability to correctly disambiguate companies' names that are quite similar. While the strings "ABBOTT LABORATORIES" and "ATT LABORATORIES" appear to be very similar when compared using common string-distance metrics (for example, the Levenshtein distance), google.com returns correct domain name <u>abbott.com</u> for the former and <u>att.com</u> for the latter.

-uzzy string matching:	Novel approach:	
irm name string in Compustat: conml = "Abbott Laboratories" assg_name_STD dist_STD ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.0000 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.0625 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.0667 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.0667 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.1250 ATT LABORATORIES 0.1250 ATT LABORATORIES 0.1250 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.1250 ATT LABORATORIES 0.1250 ATT LABORATORIES 0.1250 ATT LABORATORIES 0.1333 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.1875 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.1875 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.2105 ABBOTT LABORATORIES 0.2105 ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC 0.2105 ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC 0.2105	Novel approach: ABBOOTT LABORATORIES ABBOOTT LABORATORIES ABBOOTT LABORATORIES ABBOOTT LABORATORIES Aboot 21.300,000 results (0.56 seconds) Showing results for ABBOOTT LABORATORIES Search results for ABBOOTT LABORATORIES More Search results Abbodt Abbodt For Consumers "Contacts ATT LABORATORIES About 6.4700.000 results (0.85 seconds) About 6.4700.000 results (0.85 seconds)	
ABBOTT LABORAOTRIES 0.2353 ABBOTT LABORATORY 0.2500 ABBOTT LABORATOIRES 0.2778 BBJ LABORATORIES 0.2941 ACT LABORATORIES 0.2941	Did you mean: AT&T LABORATORIES AT&T Labs Research AT&T about att conviviendable, research = AT&T Laber research programs invent solutions at the intersection of software, data analytics and networking. Who We are Work with Us. Software Derived EvencyTime - A & Data Science	
BABB LABORATORIES0.2941	ACT LABORATORIES	
	All News Maps Images Shopping More Settings Tools	
irm name string in Compustat: conml = "Abbott Laboratories"	The Actable Comport Companies provides contract analytical services covering all aspects of analysis from academic research applications to routine quality Abbott Gmbh & Co.	
assg_namedistAbbott Laboratories0.0000Abbott Biotherapeutics Corporation0.7429Abbott Gmbh & Co.Kg A Corporation0.7500Abbott Research, B.V.0.7586Abbott Research, B.V.0.7647Abbott Research Center0.7667Abbott Gmbh & Co.0.7692Abbott Gmbh & Co. KG0.7931Abbott Biotherapeutics Corp.0.7931Abbott Gmbh & Co. KG0.7931Abbott Gmbh & Co. KG0.7931Abbott Gmbh & Co. KG0.7931Abbott Gmbh & Co. Dg0.7931Abbott Gmbh & Co. Kg0.7931Abbott Froducts Gmbh0.7931	All Maps Images Bhopping More Bettings About 4.850.000 results (0.68 seconds) About 4.050.000 results (0.68 seconds) About 4.050.000 results (0.68 seconds) Abbout 1.abboratorises - Wiki/pedia https://www.iki/pedia.org/wiki/Abbut Laboratorises * Maps in administration is an American health care company with headquarters in Lake Buff Exp contraction in 1982 when Abbet entered intria pair ventum with Dispon Pharmaceutical Co., Caska, Japen, to manufacture Newber of employees: -0.000 (2017) * Feanmai: USS 27.38 billion (2017) Headquarters: Lake Buff, Illinois, U.S. Revenue: USS 27.38 billion (2017) Headro, and the page in the fully with our life changing lachnology, We keep your heart health mounting use tooly at every daips of life, healy you field ad Abbott Health care Products, B.V. Abbott Healthcare Products, B.V. Images Images	
Abbott Gmbh & Cco. Kg0.8000Abbott Gmbh & I Co. Kg0.8000Abbott Biologicals B.V.0.8065Abbot Gmbh & Co. Kg0.8276Abbott Healthcare Products, B.V.0.8537	All Maps News Images Stopping More Settings Tools About 665.000 results (0.53 seconds)	

While the method we use is in principle very simple and transparent, the implementation requires detailed work and a lot of direct, careful human input. One of the main difficulties is that internet search engines tend to return "too many results," typically, the most popular domain names by internet users—that are not the companies' domain names—appear at the top of the results lists returned by the search engines. These domain names belong to "big internet platforms" — such as facebook, linkedin, yahoo, bloomberg, wikipedia, reuters; "Online business registers" — such as delawarelookup, delawarecompanies, registrycalifornia, eurofirmlist; "Online newswires" — such as nytimes, seattletimes, biznews, bostonglobe, businesswire; "Patent-related websites" — such as investopedia, investmentnews, investorroom, wikinvest. Overall, we have identified more than eleven hundred domain names that we exclude from the search engine results before performing the match on the domain name.

Manual work: Create domain "black list"		
- Big internet platforms		
facebook, linkedin, yahoo, bloomberg, wikipedia, reuters		
- Online business registers		
delawarelookup, delawarecompanies, registrycalifornia, eurormlist		
- Online newswires		
nytimes, seattletimes, biznews, bostonglobe, businesswire		
- Patent-related websites		
patentquant, patentsobserver, trademarkia, patentbuddy		
- Investor-targeted websites		
investopedia, investmentnews, investorroom, wikinvest		

We augment the matching on domain names described above by using internet searches for stock market tickers of companies and we subsequently match on the tickers. To this end, we, for example, search for strings such as "reuters Medtronic plc", "bloomberg quote Medtronic plc", or "yahoo stock Medtronic plc" using <u>google.com</u>. In all these cases, we obtain the result "MDT", which correctly identifies the correct ticker of the company. We combine the matching on domain names with matching on tickers obtained from various sources, and create a set of indicator variables that describe whether a given patent assignee-company match has been confirmed using multiple different searches. Using these indicator variables we can distinguish matches with different levels of confidence in their validity.

Use REUTERS search to collect	t ticker					
	reuters Medtronic plc	I Q				
	All News Shopping Maps Images More Setting	gs Tools				
	About 207,000 results (0.39 seconds)					
	Medtronic PLC (MDT.N) Quote Reuters.com https://www.reuters.com/finance/stocks/overview/MDT.N ▼ Medtronic Public Limited Company (Medtronic) is a medical technology and services company. The Company develops, manufactures and markets its medical					
Use BLOOMBERG search to c	ollect ticker					
Use YAHOO FINANCE search	to collect ticker					
	yahoo stock Medtronic plc	ţ Q				
	All News Shopping Images Maps More Settings	Tools				
	About 141,000 results (0.42 seconds)					
	MDT : Summary for Medtronic plc. Ordinary Shares - Yahoo Finance https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/MDT/ - View the basic MDT stock chart on Yahoo Finance. Change the date range, chart type and compare Medtronic plc. Ordinary Shares against other companies.					

We combine the matches obtained using internet searches with those obtained using fuzzy-string matching techniques and complement them using additional manual matching. Specifically, in terms of manual matching work: (i) We hand collect data on the most frequent not-matched assignees from the USPTO data. (ii) For multiple firms matched to the same domain name or ticker, we manually find the correct company. In most of these cases, we assign the patent assignee strings to the parent company of the business structure the patent assignee belongs to. (iii) We compare our matching results to those provided by Kogan, Papanikolaou, Seru, and Stoffman (2017) and, for the period 2000-2010, we

manually resolve all differences between our matches and those made publicly available in their <u>dataset</u>. We resolve cases when the two datasets differ, that is, when a patent assignee is matched to a different company, as well as cases when one dataset indicates a patent assignee-to-company match while the other dataset does not.

While our method provides several advantages, the resulting dataset might not be suitable for all empirical applications. For example, when matching patents assigned to business group member firms, we effectively match such patents to the business group parent company irrespective of what legal entity inside the business group structure obtained the patent. As a result, our data cannot be directly used to study the internal organization of innovation inside business groups. In short, while we identify the correct business group structure for each patent, a user of our data needs to make additional work to delineate where in the business group structure the patent belongs.

Furthermore, many subsidiaries of business groups originated through acquisitions. When searching for patent assignee strings of companies that became target firms in M&A transactions, we often obtain the domain name of the parent company of the business group that is the acquirer. In these cases, many target firms' patents end up being matched directly with the acquirers. Ultimately, after the acquisition, this is in fact the correct patent-parent company match, but the match is invalid before the M&A transaction occurs. Our data, therefore, cannot be directly used to study the in-house versus acquired innovation. In summary, while we identify the correct ultimate owner of the patent, a user of our data needs to do additional work to determine the time when the subsidiary of the business group that was responsible for patenting was acquired.

Please e-mail comments and suggestions to gcpd@darden.virginia.edu.